

REMARKS

The Office Action of October 11, 2006 has been carefully reviewed and considered by the Applicants. Claims 9, 11, 12, 18, 19 and 21 have been amended. Claim 11 has been canceled. Reconsideration of the Application is hereby respectfully requested.

The Office Action

The Examiner rejected claims 9, 10, 12 and 18-22 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a). Claims 9, 10 and 18-22 are rejected as being unpatentable over Suen (U.S. Patent No. 7,103,581). Claim 12 is rejected as being unpatentable over Katariya (U.S. Patent No. 6,549,897) in view of Nielson (U.S. Patent No. 6,810,143) and in further view of Suen. The Examiner also rejected claim 10 under 35 U.S.C. §112 as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter. Lastly, Examiner objected to claim 11 as being dependent upon a rejected base claim. However, Examiner did indicate that claim 11 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form, including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Applicant thanks the Examiner for indication of allowable subject matter.

35 U.S.C. §112 rejection

Examiner rejected claim 10 for failing to particularly point out and distinctively claim the subject matter. The Examiner will appreciate that claim 10 has been amended in order to further clarify. Support for this amendment can be found at paragraph 39 of the specification. It is hereby respectfully requested that rejection to claim 10 be withdrawn.

The §103 rejection

The Examiner will appreciate that independent claims 9, 12, 18, 19 and 21 have been amended. As the Examiner noted, no cited reference includes the recitation stated in claim 11, i.e. a user interface with selectable examples of the effects of the document intent information. The concepts recited in claim 11 have been added to all independent claims. Therefore, it is hereby respectfully requested that rejections under §103(a) be withdrawn.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons detailed above, it is submitted all claims remaining in the application (Claims 9, 10, 12 and 18-22) are now in condition for allowance. The foregoing comments do not require unnecessary additional search or examination.

In the event the Examiner considers personal contact advantageous to the disposition of this case, he/she is hereby authorized to call Joseph D. Dreher, at Telephone Number (216) 861-5582.

Respectfully submitted,


FAY SHARPE LLP



Joseph D. Dreher, Reg. No. 37,123
Eric W. Lee, Reg. No. 58,857
1100 Superior Avenue, Seventh Floor
Cleveland, OH 44114-2579
216-861-5582

February 12, 2007

Date

<u>CERTIFICATE OF MAILING OR TRANSMISSION</u>	
I hereby certify that this correspondence (and any item referred to herein as being attached or enclosed) is (are) being <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, addressed to: Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date indicated below. <input type="checkbox"/> transmitted to the USPTO by facsimile in accordance with 37 CFR 1.18 on the date indicated below.	
Express Mail Label No.:	Signature: 
Date: February 12, 2007	Name: Georgeen B. Sonntag